

Workshop on Mass Spectrometry held from 22-23 February 2013 @ Venture Center

Evaluation Results

Category	Avg (Min-Max) Count	
Section 1: Event Administration		
Quality of pre-event (registrations, queries)	6.42(5,7)26	
Was the workshop registration process timely and efficient?	6.5(5,7)26	
Was Venture Center admin staff courteous and helpful?	6.58(5,7)26	
Pace of the event (time mgmt)	5.96(4,7)26	
Overall satisfaction with event organization	6.08(4,7)25	
Section 2: Event Facilities		
Venture Center Training room (Was it appropriate, clean and comfortable)	6.62(5,7)26	
Venture Center Cafeteria (Was it appropriate, clean and comfortable)	6.19(4,7)26	
Food (Tea/coffee and lunch at Venture Center)	6.19(4,7)26	
Materials and Handout	5.96(4,7)26	
Section 3: Theory Sessions		
	I found it directly useful to me	I enjoyed this session
Dr. Ajit Srivastava		
Basic principles of Mass Spectrometer	6.44(4,7)25	5.68(2,7)22
Instrumentation	5.79(4,7)24	5.17(3,7)23
Sample Preparation and best practices	5.30(3,7)26	4.95(2,7)23
Overview of applications & Recent trends and developments - 1	5.85(3,7)26	5.43(2,7)23
Overview of applications & Recent trends and developments - 2	5.84(4,7)26	5.43(2,7)23
Dr. Mahesh Kulkarni		
Mass spectroscopy applications in proteomics	5.63(2,7)24	4.86(2,7)22
Section 4: Hands On Session & Data Interpretation		
Hands On Session	5.47(4,7)19	5.33(3,7)18
Data Interpretation	4.69(2,7)23	4.4(2,7)20
Section 5: Demonstration Session		
Demonstration at MS facility, NCL	5.35(4,7)23	4.72(3,7)22

Rating Scale
1 Bad
2 Well below average
3 Below average
4 Average
5 Good
6 Very good
7 Excellent

Section 6: Comments & Suggestions

How can these workshops be improved further?
1 There should be more emphasis on hands on & data interpretation. NMR should be conducted.
2 More case studies along with each session .
3 The hands on session must be more time.
4 Yes
5 More detailed hands on session would help.
6 Yes, but more detailed hands on required .
7 By conducting for 3 days .
8 By extending for 3 days & providing more on data analysis.
9 Yes
10 During instrumentation demo, people were not able to view the desktop. It would be better if they use projector, easier to view .
11 Hands on training session should be increased with providing individual handling.
12 Restrict participants to 10. Increase lab exposure, include proteomics part.
13 You can make it 3-4 days training with more case studies.
14 Hands on regarding proteomics sample preparation & data analysis .
15 Being from biological background was interesting to know the chemistry & software behind MS.
16 Increase time of hands on session at B.A.T
17 Lectures were good, Hands on sessions can be improved by having one day session at B.A.T & half day session at NCL.

	18	By using more concise course design.
	19	Theory sessions were carried out nicely, well planned. More detailed on data interpretation to be given.
	20	Should have less content but more explanation, right from basics .

How did you hear about this event?	No. of participants
Through website	4
Email	3
Advertisement in Newspaper	9
Word of mouth	6

Would you like to hear about similar events in the future?	Yes	25
--	-----	----

TESTIMONIALS

- 1) Please try to conduct workshop on NMR and BioNMR
- 2) a) Since this is such a vast topics the duration of the workshop may be increased
b) Probably 2 level workshop like for people with no background and people with some background could be more useful
- 3) Basically I am studying PG in stem cells and regenerative medicine as I am not belong to chemistry or pharma background the MS is new to me. It is little difficult to understand MS but as the hand on session which gives brief idea about MS. I learned very much about MS & I am very much thankful VC and BAT to giving opportunity to learn a lot about MS.
- 4) I have learned many more things about MS, Also learnt different technologies developing in this field. But as a point of view on hands on training e.g if I am working on MALDI-TOF and also have MALDI-TOF data with me then next question is how to analyze the data which have to me? For these purpose I then need detailed hands on how to interpret these data, with the help of software available or how to use the public database to identify the samples. I would expect such things in hands on training which will help me during my research work.
- 5) I found this workshop very useful. All the sessions including technical, theories, hand on and demos were very informative and useful. I am sure this workshop would bring about good interchange of knowledge even after completion of the event. I would like to appreciate all the efforts taken by all members of VC and from bottom of my heart I would like to thank Dr. Srivastava and Dr Kulkarni sir for their excellent teaching. Thank you very much. I am looking forward for many other workshops related to instrumentation
- 6) Workshop was very useful. Lectures delivered were outstanding. This workshop has really created interest in minds. All staff and related persons were very care taking and providing maximum information they have. Hands on was great and help offered was above expectations. Only small suggestion is please show in detail with example in data interpretation. I won't forget to mention that data interpretation required for basic course is already delivered. But if you can provide more, it is beneficial.
- 7) This is a first workshop that I have attended and it was good experience and I learn many new concept thank you.
- 8) If possible please arrange the session for NMR. Very nice event we benefitted a lot. Try to give more demo on software usage.
- 9) This is a first of kind workshop where I got hand on with such sophisticated equipments. I have been studying MS for two years but very few occasion got close to such facility. Dr Srivastava showed great extent of patience to answer spectrum of questions regarding naive questions to high end. Congrats to VC and team.

- 10) a) It was a good two day session to understand MS, different types and their specification, area of application. Some basis which were always taken for granted, were better understand. b) It would be good if trouble shooting was also included in the workshop. Most of the times we get data and are not able to interpret or extract the correct information; including trouble shooting will help us a lot.
- 11) More basic like definitions, etc should also be included. Material should be more in depth than that of slide presentation.
- 12) In my opinion it's a wonderful programme taken up by VC. Highly applaudable. Cheers for it. But the proteomics section can be better. Should be explained as if explained to a lay man. Just found a single suggestion to suggest I know you would be improving it. For surely wanting for similar course in field of NMR. Thanks.