

Two Day Workshop on Rheology of Polymer Melts (December 16th & 17th 2014)

Evaluation Results

Section 1: Event Administration	Average (Min-Max)Count
Quality of pre-event (registration, queries)	6(4-7)19
Was the workshop registration process timely & efficient ?	6.26(4-7)19
Was venture Center admin staff courteous & helpful ?	6.37(5-7)19
Overall satisfaction with event organization	6(4-7)19

Rating Scale		
1	=	Bad
2	=	Well below average
3	=	Below average
4	=	Average
5	=	Good
6	=	Very good
7	=	Excellent

Section 2: Event facilities	Average (Min-Max)Count
Venture Center Training room (Was it appropriate, clean & comfortable)	5.68(4-7)19
Venture center Cafeteria (Was it appropriate, clean & comfortable)	5.89(5-7)19
Food (Tea/coffee & lunch at Venture center)	5.58(2-7)19

Section 4: Overall Expectations	Average (Min-Max)Count
Content met your expectations	5.74(3-7)19
Content organized logically, well	5.89(4-7)19
Overall pace schedule, info density	5.68(3-7)19
Lecture-discussion-exercise balance	5.94(4-7)19
Quality of handouts	5.5(4-7)16
Overall course rating	5.89(3-7)19

Section 5: Evaluation of the Instructors

	AKL	HVP	AE
Lectures were well prepared	6.79(6-7)19	5.79(4-7)19	6.37(5-7)19
Explains clearly, answers questions well. Enunciates clearly	6.61(4-7)18	5.39(4-7)18	6.22(5-7)18
Encourages questions is sensitive to class	6.47(4-7)19	5.68(4-7)19	6.05(4-7)19
Excites interest and is enthusiastic	6.47(4-7)19	5.58(3-7)19	6.10(5-7)19
Overall rating	6.74(5-7)19	5.66(4-7)19	6.26(5-7)19

Section 6: Evaluation of the sections

	Theory of Rheology	Rheometry	Rheology -Macro molecular structure links	Rheology polymer processing links - case studies	case studies and data interpretation	Lab Session 1	Lab Session 2
Content Chosen met needs, was relevant and complete. Emphasis/balance right	6.47(5-7)19	6(3-7)19	6.05(3-7)19	5.68(4-7)19	5.42(4-7)19	5.79(4-7)19	5.37(3-7)19
It was made clear how you could apply learnt material to your work.	5.94(3-7)18	5.77(3-7)18	5.66(4-7)18	5.55(4-7)18	5.55(4-7)18	5.88(5-7)17	5.53(3-7)17
Pace was too slow, just right or too fast	6.11(4-7)18	5.66(4-7)18	5.72(4-7)18	5.61(4-7)18	5.44(4-7)18	5.66(4-7)18	5.41(3-7)17
The section was under -emphasized, emphasis was just right or over-emphasized	6.05(4-7)18	5.77(4-7)18	5.66(4-7)18	5.61(4-7)18	5.3(4-7)18	5.55(4-7)18	5.47(3-7)17
Overall rating	6.47(5-7)19	5.94(4-7)19	5.84(4-7)19	5.63(4-7)19	5.36(4-7)19	5.73(4-7)19	5.61(3-7)18

Section 3: Comments & Suggestions

How can these workshops be improved further?	Comments
1	Separation of group on two different rheometers. I felt very crowded in lab session exercise
2	More practical examples, still more interactive
3	By taking the views of participants before
4	Off course yes, improvement is required
5	Emphasis more on structure rheology & processing correlation
6	Felt communication of event was at short notice
7	Put more industry related examples
8	It was very nice workshop. Need to take more case studies & lab sessions
9	Yes it can be improved further by lengthening the course and provide more relevant
10	More logically, evaluate studies clearly
11	More emphasis on data interpretation

How did you hear about this event?		No. of participants
Info from colleague/company		3
Info from TA Instruments		1
Through website		3
From Faculty		2

Would you like to hear about similar events in the future?	Yes	19
--	-----	----

Testimonials

1. Overall workshop was very good arranged and it was very informative according to rheology processing point of view.
2. Melt spinning could have been dealt with more depth specific to wet and dry spinning etc. and the role of rheology in it.
3. It is absolutely good learning and try to correlate well with theoretical standard practical problem. But I feel that and would be better if the duration & course makes more length and provides more examples and further correlate the theoretical knowledge with practical problems. But overall I can say it is a good approach to make something on Rheology and I highly acknowledge the course provided to us.
4. Overall the presentation was good. Specially Dr. Lele was just excellent.
5. Good interaction with the instructors. Preferred to give printouts of the presentation, this helps to make a note on the particular slide for future reference.
6. This workshop helped in understanding the aspects of Rheology for rheology covering the theory and its practical implications.
7. Overall workshop is very effective. It gave a lot of basic knowledge which we can utilize current running problems. Suggestion: Needs to discuss more industry problems.
8. This two day workshop was good and many concepts were introduced. May be many more rheologists could be called in future for improving the scope as well as the content.
9. Regarding lab sessions, I felt very crowded. My suggestion is to split the group in even number on deferent rheometers. I also strongly missed polyamides study. Overall content, teaching was very good.
10. A very good initiative to conduct this training on Rheology. All aspects were tried to be covered in this workshop from the research and quality point of view. A focus on particular polymer processing may help industries to look at rheology for solving many issues. Looking for more such workshops for learning and exchange of knowledge in the field of rheology.
11. Expectation of the participation to be taken, viewed before designing the course session. So that the syllabus will meet participant's expectation more. Otherwise session was excellent. Good staff behavior and facilities too.
12. Faculty should use more product applications and industrial requirements through this workshop. At least one bag should be given to keep the documents.
13. It was a good refresher learning to apply and evaluate rheological needs to fulfill company and customer needs.
14. More examples to be added with industry relevance. Expectations of the participants to be cleared before the session.
15. This course was a real insight to polymerphysics, polymerstructure to rheology. It will really help me to correlate them and interpret the data in my research.